

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/709,206	Applicant(s) COOK, CHARLES
	Examiner Jermie Cozart	Art Unit 3726

All Participants:**Status of Application:** allowed(1) Jermie Cozart.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven Hays.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006**Time:** 12:05pm**Type of Interview:** Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-12, 22, 24, 25, 26, 29, and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

Waterford (US 2004/0081771 A1)

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Steven Hays, the Examiner informed Mr. Hays about the newly discovered US Patent Application Publication to Waterford, and Waterford's disclosure of a plastic sleeve coupled around each modular base structure. The Examiner proposed a combination with Prevost in light of the teachings of Waterford in order to obviate at least claim 29. In response, Mr. Hays agreed to cancel non-elected claims 1-11, amend claims 12, 24, 25, 26, 29, and to incorporate the subject matter of claim 30 into claim 29 then canceling claim 30, all of which will be performed by examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.